

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/013,051	10/29/2001	Joseph S. Barney	081460-9021-00	8433	
23585	7590 02/26/2003				
	BEST & FRIEDRICH	EXAMINER			
SUITE 360	DRATE PARKWAY	UNDERWOOD, DONALD W			
CENTER VA	ALLEY, PA 18034-8217		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3652		
			DATE MAILED: 02/26/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.		Applicant(s)		-				
		10/0130	5	Barne	y et	- al				
		Examiner		Art Unit						
		Underwo	<u>ی ط</u>	3652		_				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply										
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.										
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed										
after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.										
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED, (35 U.S.C. & 133)										
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).										
Status +he application 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10/29/01										
2a) ☐ This action is FÌNAL. 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.										
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.										
Disposition of Claims										
4) Claim(s) 1-28	is/are pending in the applicatio	n.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>Pບ</u> ນຢis/are withdrawn from consideration.										
5) Claim(s) <u>27</u> is/are allowed.										
6) (X) Claim(s) (-10, 0 is/are rejected.										
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.										
8) Claim(s) a	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.									
Application Papers										
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.										
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.										
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).										
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.										
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.										
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.										
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120										
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).										
a) All b) Some * c) None of:										
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.										
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No										
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).										
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.										
14) Acknowledgment is	made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U	.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional	application	on).				
a) 🔲 The translatio	n of the foreign language provi s made of a claim for domestic	isional application	has been rece	eived.		·				
Attachment(s)	or a stanti for domicollo	phoney under 55 C	33 120	anu/01 121.						
1) X Notice of References Cited	(PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Inte	erview Summan	(PTO-413) Paper No(s	<u>.</u> 1					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Pat 3) Information Disclosure State	ent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	, 5) ☐ No	tice of Informal P	atent Application (PTO						
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office		6)	er: .							
PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office Action	on Summary		Part of Par	er No.	5				

Application/Control Number: 10/013,051

Art Unit: 3652

Detailed Action

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 2. Claims 9 and 12 set forth a desired result but no structure to provide the result and are thus incomplete. Note claims 11 and 13 obviate this deficiency.
- 3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) The invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-8, 12,14-20, 24, 25 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Yoshimatsu et al.

Line 60 in figure 6 is synonymous with the claimed cursor. 64, 63, 62 and 61 in figure 6 denote the work envelopes.

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation

Art Unit: 3652

under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

7. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoshimatsu et at in view of Fiede et al.

Fiede teaches that data can be input automatically or by keyboard. See Fiede, column 11, lines 36-41. Accordingly it would have been obvious to provide Yoshimatsu with a keypad to input weight if desiring to control weight figures to enhance safety, i.e., use a heavier weight than actual load for an inexperienced operator.

- 8. Claim 11 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 9. Claim 27 is allowed.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to D.Underwood at telephone number (703) 308-1113.

D.Underwood/hr February 21, 2003

While Williams of 25/63 WONALD W. UNDERWOOD PRIMARY EXAMINER